IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO. 1894 OF 2006

Central Bank Employee's Union
and others..... .... Petitioners.
Versus
Union of India & another..... ... Respondents.

Mr.Bhavesh Parmar for the Petitioners.
Mr.G.Hariharan i/by Dr.T.C.Kaushik
for Respondent No.1.
Mr. Piyush Shah for Respondent No.2.

CORAM : R. M. LODHA, and
SMT.NISHITA MHATRE, JJ.

DATED : 12TH JANUARY, 2007.

P.C.:

We heard the Counsel for the petitioners and Counsel for the respondent no.2.

2. Merits of the matter need not be examined by us, as ultimately it is for the respondent no.2 - Central Bank of India to act in accordance with its existing policy relating to appointment on compassionate ground. What is seen from the averments made in the writ petition is that 33 applications are pending before the respondent no.2 for consideration of appointment on compassionate ground. The Counsel for the petitioners submits that these applications have been made by the dependents of the deceased employees on the basis of the policy of the bank notified on 3rd January, 1979.

3. The writ petition is disposed of by the following order:

(i) Writ Petition shall be treated as a notice for demand of justice to the respondent no.2 bank for redressal of the grievance concerning the appointment on compassionate ground.

(ii) The respondent no.2 or the authority appointed on its behalf shall consider the averments made in the writ petition (deemed notice for demand of justice) in accord with its policy for appointment on compassionate grounds and dispose of the 33 applications expeditiously and in no case later than three months from the date of communication of this order and communicate the decision so taken to all the 33 applicants and the petitioner no.1 Union.

Sd/-

(R. M. LODHA, J.)

Sd/-

(SMT.NISHITA MHATRE, J.)